

Review Process for ATP3 Program

Gil Sambrano

Director, Portfolio Development and Review

Proposed Scoring System

Score of "85-100"

Exceptional merit and warrants funding, if funds are available. GWG recommendation based on highest ranking application but all applications will be available for programmatic review at ICOC.

Score of "1-84"

Not recommended for funding.

Applications are scored by all scientific members of the GWG with no conflict.

GWG Reviewers

- Will maintain current rules for recruiting experts from outside of California.
- Will supplement GWG scientists with specialist reviewers.
 - May be recruited from within California.
 - Can provide necessary business/industry expertise.
 - Will provide suggested score but will not contribute to GWG final score.
- Total number of reviewers will be balanced to maintain quorum while being inclusive of specialists.

"Pitch"

 Applicant teams will be invited to give a presentation before the GWG to address vision, value proposition, and business plan.

 Q&A period will allow GWG to ask questions directly of the team members.

